

REMARKS

Amendments to the Specification

Applicants wish to bring to the attention of the Examiner a marked-up replacement paragraph presented in the section entitled “**AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION**” above. This paragraph is to replace paragraph [0006] presently recited in the specification. This amendment to the specification has been made for the sole purpose of correcting a typographical error—specifically, replacing the inadvertently misspelled word *other* with the adjective *outer*. (Emphasis added.) No new matter has been added by this amendment.

Amendments to the Claims

Applicants have amended the claims as follows:

Claim 1 has been amended for clarity. This amendment does not introduce new matter.

Claim 9 has been amended in order to replace the previously cited *fiber-reinforced* limitation with the presently recited *Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS)* limitation. (Emphasis added.) Because ABS, recited in line 8 of paragraph 9 of the original specification, is an acronym for *Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene*, and would be recognized as such by those of ordinary skill in the art, this amendment does not introduce new matter.

Claims 16-20 have been canceled.

Claim 21 has been newly added in order to claim the aerodynamically shaped hump teaching previously recited in independent claim 16. Claim 21 depends from allowed independent claim 1. No new matter has been added by this amendment.

Rejection of Claims 16-20 Under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

The Examiner has indicated that claims 16-20 are “indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.” More particularly, the Examiner has indicated that in claim 16, lines 13-18 are “unclear with respect to how the first portion extends outwardly from ‘the outer face’ and how the second portion of the

Appl. No. 10/668,923
Amdt. dated June 14, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 28, 2006

hump is curving gradually inwardly from the first portion to meet 'the outer face' since the hump is defined in lines 13 and 14 as being part of the outer face, i.e. the claim appears to be defining the hump as part of the outer face and then defining the hump as not being a part of the outer face."

Applicants have canceled claims 16-20, and therefore, Applicants hereby respectfully submit that the outstanding rejection of claims 16-20 has been obviated.

Appl. No. 10/668,923
Amdt. dated June 14, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 28, 2006


SUMMARY

If the Examiner believes that it would facilitate prosecution, Applicant's Agent, Craig H. Curtis, may be contacted at (619) 230-7458, or at ccurtis@gordonrees.com.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 50-1990 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 14, 2006

By:  (Lin Yu, Reg. 57083)
(for Craig Curtis)
Craig H. Curtis
Registration No. 58,470

**Please recognize our Customer Number 27111
as our correspondence address.**

GORDON & REES, LLP
101 West Broadway, Suite 1600
San Diego, California 92101-8217
Phone: (619) 696-6700
Facsimile: (619) 696-7121
Attorney Docket No. BHARD-1027976